

# 1 3.15 Temporary Construction-related Impacts

### 2 3.15.1 Summary of Draft Tier 1 EIS

- 3 The Build Corridor Alternatives would result in temporary construction-related impacts, with the
- 4 most consequential impacts occurring where new roadway would be constructed in
- 5 undeveloped areas. Temporary construction impacts would include, but are not limited to:
- Increased traffic congestion and travel times through construction areas, which may change
  traffic patterns on local roads or increase emergency response times for fire, police, and
- 8 ambulance services
- Reduced access for businesses near the construction zones
- Fugitive dust and mobile source emissions
- Increased sedimentation from erosion during stormwater runoff
- Risk of hazardous material spills into adjacent streams or rivers
- Noise and vibration from activities such as pile driving for bridge structures, which could
  impact residences and businesses or wildlife movement and nesting for bird species
- Removal of vegetation, including protected plant species
- Impacts to wildlife species, especially less mobile species, such as the Sonoran Desert
  tortoise, or ground nesting species such as the burrowing owl

### 18 3.15.2 Summary of Changes Since Draft Tier 1 EIS

- 19 Commenters on the Draft Tier 1 EIS expressed concern for impacts to air quality and health,
- 20 wildlife, and other resources. These impacts are analyzed and discussed in **Section 3.10** (Air
- 21 Quality) and **Section 3.14** (Biological Resources). No changes to **Section 3.15** resulted from
- 22 comments.

#### 23 3.15.3 No Build Alternative

- 24 The No Build Alternative would not lead to I-11 construction-related activities and is therefore
- 25 not discussed.

### 26 3.15.4 Recommended Alternative

- 27 The Recommended Alternative would result in the I-11 construction-related impacts
- 28 summarized in Section 3.15.1.



# Section 3.15, Tempor

# I-11 Corridor Final Tier 1 EIS Section 3.15, Temporary Construction-related Impacts

### 1 3.15.5 Preferred Alternative

- 2 The Preferred Alternative would result in the I-11 construction-related impacts summarized in
- 3 **Section 3.15.1**.

## 4 3.15.6 Mitigation and Tier 2 Analysis

### 5 3.15.6.1 Tier 2 Analysis Commitments

- 6 FHWA and ADOT completed an initial level of analysis in this Final Tier 1 EIS to identify a
- 7 2,000-foot-wide preferred Build Corridor Alternative. Additional analysis in Tier 2 will inform
- 8 (1) the selection of a specific alignment (approximately 400 feet wide) within the selected
- 9 2,000-foot-wide corridor and (2) the selection of the west option or east option in Pima County.
- Tier 2 analysis will also identify measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate temporary construction
- 11 impacts.
- 12 Tier 2 analysis would provide additional detail on the construction methodology if a Build
- 13 Corridor Alternative is selected. Additional details would be expected to include the number of
- 14 aerial structures (bridges or viaducts), the need for embankments and other earth-moving
- activities, and other design details. The exact design and configuration of I-11 would be highly
- dependent upon local conditions, and efforts would be undertaken to gather information about
- local features as part of the Tier 2 analysis. Further, the Tier 2 analysis would address traffic
- 18 management and detours that may occur during the construction period. Details about
- 19 construction techniques, equipment, and staging areas used to minimize temporary
- 20 construction-related impacts also would be analyzed as part of the Tier 2 analysis.

### 21 3.15.6.2 Mitigation Commitments

- 22 As required by NEPA, FHWA and ADOT considered measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate
- temporary construction impacts from the Project (generally referred to as mitigation measures)
- 24 during this Tier 1 process.
- 25 Strategies to mitigate the temporary impacts from construction are identified by resource
- throughout Chapter 3 (Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences) and in
- 27 Chapter 7 (Summary of Mitigation and Tier 2 Analysis). More specific mitigation measures
- would be identified in the Tier 2 analysis. Once project design is more defined, temporary
- 29 construction impacts can be evaluated and addressed in commensurate detail.

### 30 3.15.6.3 Additional Mitigation to be Evaluated in Tier 2

- 31 During the Tier 2 process, ADOT will evaluate mitigation measures to include best practices,
- permit requirements, and/or other mitigation strategies suggested by agencies or the public.

